

House of Representatives

Supplementary Order Paper

Wednesday, 8 February 2017

Taxation (Business Tax, Exchange of Information, and Remedial Matters) Bill

Proposed amendments

Ron Mark, in Committee, to move the following amendments:

Clause 2

After *clause 2(2)* (page 8, after line 8), insert:

(2BA) **Section 4BA** comes into force on the later of—

- (a) the date on which this Act receives the Royal assent; and
- (b) the date that the Building (Earthquake-prone Buildings) Amendment Act 2016 comes into force.

New clause 4BA

After *clause 4* (page 9, after line 17), insert:

4BA Section DA 2 amended (General limitations)

In section DA 2(1), after “of a capital nature”, insert “, unless they are seismic works where an EPB notice has been issued for the building under section 133AK of the Building Act 2004”.

Explanatory note

This Supplementary Order Paper amends *clause 2* and inserts *new clause 4BA*, amending *section DA 2* of the Income Tax Act 2007 to redress significant tax disadvantages faced by commercial, industrial, retail, and heritage property owners when looking to bring buildings above the earthquake-prone building threshold required by the Building Act 2004. Major chartered accountants, like KPMG and others, have been outspoken in their belief that Inland Revenue is inconsistently treating the tax

**Proposed amendments to
Taxation (Business Tax, Exchange of Information, and
Remedial Matters) Bill**

SOP No 259

deductibility of business costs by considering seismic works to be a capital expense for tax purposes. As the Property Council of New Zealand noted in its April 2014 submission: “The expenditure required to remedy this will not increase the value of the building, on the basis that earthquake strengthening will not qualitatively change the function of the building (ie, will generally not result in a higher rental stream).... In short, expenditure on earthquake strengthening is largely made to mitigate a loss in economic value, not enhance the value of a building”. Without this amendment, many buildings may be demolished without replacement, especially in provincial New Zealand.

Wellington, New Zealand:

Published under the authority of the House of Representatives—2017